**Rubric for Research Poster Presentations AAOMPT Conference**

Please score each category on a scale of 1 – 10 (1 = insufficient, 5 = meets criteria, 10 = outstanding) using the rubric below.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Category</th>
<th>Outstanding (10 points)</th>
<th>Meets Criteria (5 points)</th>
<th>Insufficient (1 point)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>
| **Background or Introduction**    | *sufficient information to inform audience of a clear gap in literature or understanding  
*the project adds to the current knowledge  
*purpose is coherent and clear  
*clinical significance of the problem under investigation is clearly stated | *some missing information that would partially limit an audience’s understanding to the relevance / gap in literature  
*the project is similar to other projects, but has a unique aspect  
*purpose does not completely support the gap in the literature  
*clinical significance of the problem under investigation is clearly stated | *some missing information that significantly limits an audience’s understanding to the relevance / gap in literature  
*the project does not add to the current knowledge  
*purpose does not support the gap in the literature  
*clinical significance of the problem under investigation is clearly stated |
| **Methods**                       | Research project  
*the methods are clear, concise  
*risks of biases were minimized  
*statistical analyses were mentioned  
Systematic review  
*search strategies are clearly outlined | Research project  
*the methods are missing some detail OR  
*risks of biases were not minimized  
*statistical analyses were mentioned  
Systematic review  
*search strategies are inconsistently outlined | Research project  
*the methods are missing  
*risks of biases were not minimized  
*statistical analyses were NOT mentioned  
Systematic review  
*search strategies not discussed |
| **Results**                       | *Clearly stated and summarized  
*Answered each research hypothesis OR  
*highlighted synthesized knowledge in a systematic review | *Clearly stated, not as well summarized  
*Answered most of the research questions OR  
*highlighted evolving knowledge in a systematic review or hypothesis paper | *Difficult to follow  
*Answered some of the research question OR  
*did not highlight evolving knowledge in a systematic review or hypothesis paper |
| **Conclusion**                    | *Clearly described  
*Support the results  
*Thoughtful discussion as to “what’s next” | *Clearly described  
*Does not support the results  
*Thoughtful discussion as to “what’s next” | *Confusing  
*Does not support the results  
*no discussion as to “what’s next” |
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Presentation</th>
<th>*Delivery and projection were consistent and easy to hear</th>
<th>*Delivery and projection were consistent and easy to hear</th>
<th>*Delivery and projection were not consistent or difficult to hear</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>*Presenter did not exceed 5 minutes</td>
<td>*Presenter exceeded 5 minutes</td>
<td>*Presenter exceeded 5 minutes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>*Answered all questions if asked</td>
<td>*Answered all questions if asked</td>
<td>*Difficulty with answering questions if asked</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Overall Project</td>
<td>*Novel research</td>
<td>*Somewhat similar to other research, but still adds to a gap</td>
<td>*Similar to other research, does not add to a gap in knowledge</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>*Highly relevant to OMPT</td>
<td>*Significant to OMPT</td>
<td>*not relevant to OMPT</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Additional Comments:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total score:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>/60</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>